

U.S. Application No. 10/695,008, filed October 28, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 15128US02

Response AF dated July 16, 2007

In Reply to Office Action Made Final of May 14, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 1-37 are pending. Claims 7, 10 and 21 were objected to. Claims 1-37 stand rejected.

To place the official record of the application in condition for appeal, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner respond to the following three (3) requests:

1. With regard to the objections of claims 7, 10 and 21, it appears that the Examiner may be limiting the scope of the claimed inventions to particular embodiments as set forth in the specification. If this is the case, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner note such an allegation for the official record.

2. With regard to the anticipation rejection based on Richard, the Examiner alleges that the “Examiner interprets the combination of the non-linear pseudo-random bit sequence with clear text data is equivalent to bit rolling”. Office Action Made Final at page 3.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner note where the “*rolling*” is described in Richard. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner explain with particularity how bit *rolling* is taught by combining a non-linear pseudo-random bit sequence with data. Applicants do not understand Examiner’s allegation that combining a random number with data is bit *rolling*. How is the *encrypted* data being bit *rolled*? It is respectfully requested that the Examiner provide citations to Richard in support of the *anticipation* rejections.

3. With regard to the anticipation rejection based on Luyster, the Office Action Made Final provides a number of clarifying arguments as set forth on pages 3 and 4. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner provide citations to Luyster in support of the *anticipation* rejections as clarified on pages 3 and 4 of the Office Action Made Final.

U.S. Application No. 10/695,008, filed October 28, 2003
Attorney Docket No. 15128US02
Response AF dated July 16, 2007
In Reply to Office Action Made Final of May 14, 2007

The Examiner's assistance in responding to the above three (3) items would be gratefully appreciated.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, to charge any fee deficiencies or to credit any overpayments to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Date: July 16, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael T. Cruz/
Michael T. Cruz
Reg. No. 44,636

McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.
500 West Madison Street, Suite 3400
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Telephone: (312) 775-8084
Facsimile: (312) 775-8100